## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

CHESTER COLE,
---------------

Plaintiff,

Case No. 08-15234 Hon. Lawrence P. Zatkoff

v.

## MARY BERGHUIS

Defendant.

## **ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for a Certificate of Appealability [dkt 16].

On November 22, 2010, the Court entered an Order denying Plaintiff's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Denying a Certificate of Appealability. On May 17, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Relief from Judgment in connection with his denied Habeas Corpus petition. On June 28, 2012, the Court denied Plaintiff's Motion for Relief from Judgment. On or about July 27, 2012, Plaintiff filed his Notice of Appeal to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. On August 1, 2012, Plaintiff filed the instant Motion seeking a Certificate of Appealability regarding the Court's June 28, 2012, Order denying his Motion for Relief from Judgment.

Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22 provides that an appeal may not proceed unless a certificate of appealability (COA) is issued under 28 U.S.C. § 2253. A COA may be issued "only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. §2253(c)(2). A plaintiff must show "that reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." *Slack v.* 

2:08-cv-15234-LPZ-MKM Doc # 22 Filed 01/25/13 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 1255

McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (citation omitted). In this case, the Court concludes that

reasonable jurists would not debate that Plaintiff failed to show the Court committed "a clear

error of judgment." Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for a Certificate of Appealability [dkt 16] is

DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: January 25, 2013

s/Lawrence P. Zatkoff LAWRENCE P. ZATKOFF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2